

Experience in optimization of MCS C-Code for hard real-time applications

GTM Tech Days – Stuttgart, Sep. 22nd, 2022

Vittorio Calvia



PUNCH Softronix

Home News Company Plants & Facilities Images Videos

Punch Group Acquires General Motors Propulsion Engineering Center in Turin, Italy

2020-02-27

TURIN, Italy — Punch Group and General Motors announced today that Punch Group has acquired GM's propulsion engineering center in Turin. The transaction between the two companies includes an engineering services agreement to support GM's global product

2022-01-01

PUNCH | Softronix

PUNCH

SOFTRONIX

IS BORN



"PUNCH Torino will now create a center focused on the electronics, controls and software giving life to the new PUNCH Softronix business unit"



PUNCH Softronix

Softronix has a consolidated experience in designing and implementing Complex Drivers in GTM for gas and Diesel ECU applications. Complex drivers microcode has been developed directly in MCS assembly code.





For a customer, Softronix recently completed a software project for driving a traction power inverter controller in the electrical domain.

Context

- As per customer requirements, the low-level control design and implementation included the usage of the Bosch GTM IP in an Infineon TC3xx microcontroller.
- Customer also required microcode developed using a compiler that converts C-code in MCS assembly.
- The implementation resulted in a very fast control loop, from torque demand and motor speed, to the space vector modulation for driving the inverter, without charging the microcontroller cores.
- Target for calculation and actuation: 20 µs.

Context



Design and coding considerations

- One MCS channel is used to perform calculations: currents are measured and provided as input. MCS processes data and generates duty cycles and period to command 7 ATOMs in PWM mode.
- MCS channel has been set in accelerated mode.
- Data processing includes trigonometric functions and square root calculation. They have been adapted to run in fixed point at 24 bits.

Design and coding considerations



First results

A preliminary estimation				
understand if given target could be satisfied. Pseudo code has been		Code	Execution time [µs]	
generated to estimate execution time Virtual model of GTM has been used to measure execution time of first come implementation Code has been executed on a real evaluation board with Infineon microprocessor	Estimation	Pseudo Code	16.0	
	Virtual	Compiled C	15.6	
	EVB	Code	41.1	

Compiler offers several options to optimize generated microcode. User can decide if priority should be given to size of generated code or speed.

Speed optimization have been activated. As side effect, size reached the limit of MCS memory.

Settings			▼
🖉 Global Options	Optimization level:	Custom Optimization	~
 C Compiler Preprocessing 	Trade-off between speed and size:	Level 0 - Speed	~
🖉 Include Paths	Always inline function calls	Level 0 - Speed	
🖄 Language	Maximum size increment when inlining (in %):	Level 2	
Code Generation	Maximum size for functions to always inline:	Level 3 Level 4 - Size	
Allocation			
🖉 Custom Optimization			



Compiler offers several options to optimize generated microcode. User can decide if priority should be given to size of generated code or speed.

Speed optimization have been activated. As side effect, size reached the limit of MCS memory.

	Execution time [µs]
Old EVB measurement	41.1
New EVB measurement	26.7



To reduce the number of memory accesses, some ATOM commands have been grouped together: instead of activating 7 ATOMs one by one, a single command is sent as the logical "or" of the channels.

```
for (Index = 0; Index < (NumOfPhases*2); Index++)
{
   ChannelEnable(Index);
}
void ChannelEnable(uint8 Index)
{
   Addrs = Addr_h_ATOM_AGC_GLB_CTRL;
   Data = (Enable_Update << ((UPEN_OFFSET + (Index*2))));
   __mcs_bwr(Addrs, Data);
}</pre>
```



To reduce the number of memory accesses, some ATOM commands have been grouped together: instead of activating 7 ATOMs one by one, a single command is sent as the logical "or" of the channels.

	Execution time [µs]
Old EVB measurement	26.7
New EVB measurement	25.6



Usage of local variables and extended register set

Another method to reduce the number of memory accesses is to increase the usage of local variables.

- Extended register set has been enabled. The number of stack read/write operations decreased by 30%.
- Some subfunctions have been collapsed into a single one. This allowed the reuse of some local parameter/variable.
- ACB was an unused register since no ARU access was needed. It has been used to store a frequently used variable. This reduced related read/write operations.

Usage of local variables and extended register set

Another method to reduce the number of memory accesses is to increase the usage of local variables.

- Extended register set has been enabled. The number of stack read/write operations decreased by 30%.
- Some subfunctions have been collapsed into a single one. This allowed the reuse of some local parameter/variable.
- ACB was an unused register since no ARU access was needed. It has been used to store a frequently used variable. This reduced related read/write operations.

	Execution time [µs]
Old EVB measurement	25.6
New EVB measurement	21.4

Examining code, it contained some for loop where the index was pointing to an array of a structure. On every iteration, several microcode instructions were generated to point to the proper address. The loop has been converted in a sequence of "if" statements.

```
Addrs = Addr_h_ATOM_AGC_GLB_CTRL;
for (Index = 0; Index < (NumOfPhases*2); Index++)
{
    Data = Data | (Enable_Update << ((UPEN_OFFSET + (Index*2))));
}
__mcs_bwr(Addrs, Data);</pre>
```

```
Addrs = Addr_h_ATOM_AGC_GLB_CTRL;
if(NumOfPhases > 0)
{
    Data = (Data | (Enable_Update<<UPEN_OFFSET) | (Enable_Update<<(2+UPEN_OFFSET)));
}
if(NumOfPhases > 1)
{
    Data = (Data | (Enable_Update<<(4+UPEN_OFFSET)) | (Enable_Update<<(6+UPEN_OFFSET)));
}
if(NumOfPhases > 2)
{
    Data = (Data | (Enable_Update<<(8+UPEN_OFFSET)) | (Enable_Update<<(10+UPEN_OFFSET)));
}
Addrs = Addr_h_ATOM_AGC_GLB_CTRL;
__mcs_bwr(Addrs, Data);
```

Examining code, it contained some for loop where the index was pointing to an array of a structure. On every iteration, several microcode instructions were generated to point to the proper address. The loop has been converted in a sequence of "if" statements.

	Execution time [µs]
Old EVB measurement	21.4
New EVB measurement	18.5

Optimization summary



C-code compiler for MCS is very good in generating assembly code. If the user specifies its target (speed or code size), a lot of optimizations are very effective.

Manual code still has slightly better performances since developer can manage registers and memory in an optimal way.

Virtual environment is useful. Even if real board is not completely emulated, it helped a lot during development phase.

Having a good knowledge of GTM allows optimizations that a C developer could not consider. At the same time, the experience in GTM coding can be used to use the module at its best and reach customer target.

COMPLEX DRIVERS USING GTM BOSCH IP – OUR EXPERIENCE

	Served Applications					
Drivers (all with GTM 3.1.5)	Diesel ICE	Gas ICE	Fuel Cells	Punch El. Platform (H2 ICE/Diesel ICE/)	EV	In Production
Direct Injection Fueling Output	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		2023-Diesel, Gas
Fuel Pulse Monitoring	\checkmark	~		\checkmark		2023-Diesel, Gas
Voltage & Current Synchronous Samping	\checkmark	\checkmark		\checkmark		2023-Diesel, Gas
Port Fuel Injection Outputs		\checkmark		✓		2024-Gas
High Pressure Fuel Pump Driver		\checkmark				2023-Gas
Knock Inputs		~		\checkmark		2023-Gas
Spark Outputs		\checkmark		\checkmark		2023-Gas
Engine Position System Inputs	\checkmark	1		✓		2023-Gas
Step Cam Outputs		\checkmark				2023-Gas
Cylinder Deactivation Inputs/Outputs		\checkmark				2023-Gas
Complex pulse Output with Synchronous Diagnostic				\checkmark		-
Time base Injector Outputs			Planned in 2023			-
Inverter Control					\checkmark	-